Mr. Peter Zuk of the Stored Product Insect Laboratory, Vancouver, identified the beetles as *Tribolium destructor* Utten. In our collections was one of two specimens I had taken in Vancouver in 1951 that were identified in 1954 by Dr. D. W. Boddy of Seattle as *Aphanotus brevicornis* Lec. A series sent to Mr. Gordon Stace-Smith was pronounced to be *A. brevicornis*. This is apparently a relatively rare beetle because Mr. Stace-Smith, Mr. H. B. Leech of the California Academy of Sciences and the Systematic Unit at Ottawa, were all glad to have a series. Mr. W. Brown of the Systematic Unit requested a living colony because the National Collection had no specimens of this species. After studying it, Mr. Brown reported that the insect is not *Aphanotus brevicornis* Lec., but is *Tribolium destructor* Utten, even as Mr. Zuk had identified it at first.

The original stock that the agent received and later gave me, probably developed in cereals, from which the larvae migrated to nearby tea leaves for pupation; they certainly do not feed on tea leaves.

> -G. J. Spencer, University of British Columbia.

# CHEMICAL CONTROL OF ROOT MAGGOTS IN EARLY CABBAGE<sup>1</sup>

K. M. KING, A. R. FORBES, AND M. D. NOBLE<sup>2</sup>

#### Field Crop Insect Section, Entomology Laboratory, Victoria, B.C.

Eight large-scale experiments on chemical control of root maggots in early cabbage were conducted from the Victoria laboratory during the years 1947-1953 and 1955. The phase of the investigation relating to methods of evaluating damage and control has already been reported (King, Forbes, and Noble, in preparation).

Before 1920, controls recommended in North America were limited to cultural or mechanical methods (Goff, 1892; Slingerland, 1894; Schoene, 1916; Gibson and Treherne, 1916). Use of a tarred felt paper pad around the stem of each transplant, though effective, was very laborious and not commercially practical. Chemical control, in the usual sense, did not exist until Brittain (1920) published experimental evidence of the effectiveness and practical value of corrosive sublimate, previously reported (e.g., Slingerland, 1894) to have been employed for many years by some commercial growers in Great Britain as a trade secret. Brittain's results were-soon confirmed by other workers, and the

effectiveness of calomel was demonstrated by Glasgow (1929) and others. Both of these chemicals were expensive and the labor cost was also high since repeated applications were necessary. Although these two chemicals continued for many years to be valuable standard remedies against root maggots, thorough re-investigation of the problem became imperative when, with the advent of the chlorinated hydrocarbons, there was promise of developing more economical controls (Carlson et al., 1947). The materials first tried gave disappointing results (Dills et al., 1944), but others later proved more effective (Eide & Stitt, 1950; Semenov 1950; and others). It was at the early stage of this development that the present study was begun.

The methods used to evaluate control measures have not always been discussed, especially in the earlier literature. Important exceptions include Brittain (1920), Wright (1953), and King et al. (in preparation). The latter concluded: (1) that yield on an area basis provides the best summation of the effects of attack and of chemical treatment. environmental factors being considered; (2) that vields from different experiments are best compared when each is expressed as a percentage of the yield of the highest-yielding treatment of its own

28

<sup>1</sup> Contribution No. 3471, Entomology Division, Science Service, Department of Agriculture, Ottawa, Canada.

<sup>2</sup> Officer-in-Charge, Associate Entomologist, and Technician respectively. Forbes and Noble now at Fatomology Laboratory, c/o University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C.

experiment; and (3) that the number of plants ruined by maggots provides the best single measure of protection.

The cabbage maggot, Hylemya brassicae (Bouché), was the pest species involved in all the experiments. Infestation by first-generation larvae is the critical factor in the production of early cabbage in coastal British Columbia. Flies begin to emerge from overwintered puparia during the first long warm period in April or May and lay eggs about a week later (Table I).

| TABLE I.—Seasonal data on a | egg-laying, transplanting, and ha | rvesting for the experimental |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| area, Victoria, B.C., 1947  | - 1956.                           | for the experimental          |

| Year | Beginning<br>of egg-layin | Peak of 1st-<br>generation<br>eggs | Peak of 2nd-<br>generation<br>eggs | Trans-<br>planting | Harvest                                      |
|------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1947 | April 23                  |                                    |                                    | April 11           | June 17 - July 10                            |
| 1948 | April 26                  |                                    |                                    | April 16           | July 20 - Aug 3                              |
| 1949 | April 25                  |                                    |                                    | April 26           | July 12 - Aug. 15                            |
| 1950 | May 8                     |                                    |                                    | May 25             | Aug. 7 - Sept 12                             |
| 1951 | May 3                     |                                    |                                    | June 5             | Aug. 8 - Sept. 4                             |
| 1952 | April 24                  | May 26                             | July 7                             | April 17           | July 21 - Aug. 13                            |
| 1953 | April 24                  | June 1                             | July 6                             | April 21           | $\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$ |
| 1954 |                           | May 31                             | July 9                             |                    |  |
| 1955 | May 2                     | May 23                             | June 30                            | May 3              | Aug 2 - Aug 12                               |
| 1956 | May 2                     | May 17                             | July 1                             |                    |  |

At Victoria early cabbages are transplanted to the field during April or May. Consequently the peak of spring egg-laying (Table I) occurs when the plants are still small and not fully established. They may also receive considerable numbers of secondgeneration eggs, but this infestation does not greatly affect production since the plants are then nearly mature.

## Methods and Materials

All the experiments were conducted at Victoria, on a farm with clay-loam soil. A randomized block design was used each year except in 1950 and 1951, when a latin square design was used. There were four replications in 1947 and 1948, six in 1949 and 1955, seven in 1953, eight in 1952, and ten in 1950 and 1951. There were generally 40 to 60 plants per plot.

Plants were started in the greenhouse or in seed-beds protected from infestation. Transplanting dates are shown in Table I. All plants were inspected frequently throughout the growing season to note dying plants and determine cause of death, and to record other pertinent data such as indications of phytotoxicity. Individual plant records were maintained. Harvest data were taken as groups of plants matured. Analysis of variance was used in evaluating the results.

Plants that died or failed to produce marketable heads from causes outside the scope of the experiment were not included in the final results. These included plants ruined by cultivation injury, flooding, wire stem, club root, muskrats, and cutworms. The number of plants involved was usually very small. The same procedure was followed in 1950, the one vear when because of failure of protective measures in the seed-beds. some of the transplants were infested by root maggots when they were set out. As these plants succumbed much more quickly than those infested after transplanting, they were eliminated from consideration without affecting the appraisal of treatments.

| TABLE II.—Percen    | itages o | f early cabba         | ige ruined and yield | ls after vai         | rious t | reatme | ents ag | gainst | the ca | bbage | magg | ot at | Victor | ia, B.C | ., 1948    | -1955. |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|--------|---------|------------|--------|
|                     |          |                       |                      | Number               | Pla     | nts Ru | ined b  | v Mag  | ggots, | %     |      |       | Yield  | 12      |            |        |
| Treat               | tment    |                       | Rate <sup>1</sup>    | of Appli-<br>cations | 1948    | 1949   | 1950    | 1952   | 1953   | 1955  | 1948 | 1949  | 1950   | 1952    | 1953 1     | 955    |
| Chaolz              |          |                       |                      |                      | 41      | 48     | 23      | 34     | 23     | 90    | 42   | 4     | 62     | 55      | 51         | 6      |
| Corrosive sublimate | 20       | 1:1600                | 3 oz./plant          | 3                    | 6       |        |         |        |        |       | 99   |       |        |         |            |        |
| Calomel-talc        | dust     | 4%                    | 40 lb./acre          | . 3                  | αj      |        |         |        |        |       | 22   |       |        |         |            |        |
|                     | stem     | 50%                   | l oz./250 plants     | -                    | cI ,    |        |         |        |        |       | 5 8  |       |        |         |            |        |
| Parathion           | dust     | 240                   | 40 lb./acre          | 71                   | 0       |        |         |        |        |       | 00   |       |        |         |            |        |
| Toxaphene           | dust     | 10%                   | 40 lb./acre          | 3                    | 42      |        |         |        |        |       | 66   |       |        | ţ       |            |        |
| DDT                 | dip      | 50%                   | 0.5 oz.1             | 1                    |         |        | З       | 7      |        |       |      | 1     | 16     | 92      |            |        |
|                     | stem     | 50%                   | 1 oz./250 plants     | 1                    | 1       | 25     | 1       |        |        |       | 84   | 59    | 100    |         |            |        |
|                     | spray    | 50%                   | 3 lb.                | 0                    |         | 34     |         |        |        |       |      | 58    |        |         |            |        |
| лна                 | duet     | 05000                 | 40 lh/acre           | 3                    | č       | 11     | 4       |        |        |       | 95   | 96    | 93     |         |            |        |
|                     | lenn     | 82/20                 |                      | 2                    |         | 12     | 0       |        |        |       |      | 95    | 94     |         |            |        |
|                     |          |                       |                      | 1                    |         | 16     | 0       | 7      |        |       |      | 78    | 90     | 80      |            |        |
|                     | V0100    | 2005                  | t lh <i>o</i>        | 3                    | 0       |        | 3       |        |        |       | 100  |       | 94     |         |            |        |
|                     | fonde    | 2100                  | 0                    | 0                    |         | 11     |         |        |        |       |      | 88    |        |         |            |        |
|                     |          |                       |                      | 1                    |         | 20     |         |        |        |       |      | 82    |        |         |            |        |
|                     | duct     | 10%                   | 40 lh /acre          | 2                    |         |        | ¢1      |        |        |       |      |       | 87     |         |            |        |
| LIEIGTII            | lenn     | 1/0                   |                      |                      |         |        | 3       |        |        |       |      |       | 88     |         |            |        |
|                     | SDFAV    | emulsion <sup>3</sup> | 1 lb.                | 1                    |         |        | 0       |        |        |       |      |       | 95     |         |            |        |
| Chlandana           | dust     | 50%                   | 40 lb./acre          | 3                    | 19      |        |         |        |        |       | 55   |       |        |         |            |        |
|                     |          | 10%                   | 40 lb./acre          | 2                    |         |        | 8       |        |        |       |      |       | 86     |         |            |        |
|                     |          | 10%                   | 40 lb./acre          | 1                    |         |        | 9       |        |        |       |      |       | 81     |         | ł          | :      |
|                     |          | 5%                    | 40 lb./acre          | F                    |         |        |         | 12     | 4      | 46    |      |       |        | 73      | 71         | 4      |
|                     | SDFAV    | emulsion <sup>4</sup> | 2 lb.                | 2                    |         | 10     |         |        |        |       |      | 100   |        |         |            |        |
|                     | - I -    | emulsion <sup>5</sup> | 2 lb.                | -                    |         |        | 1       |        |        |       |      |       | 93     |         |            |        |
| Aldrin              | dust     | 1%                    | 40 lb./acre          | 3                    |         |        | 3       |        |        |       |      |       | 92     |         |            |        |
|                     |          |                       |                      | 0                    |         |        | 3       |        |        |       |      |       | 8      |         |            |        |
|                     |          |                       |                      | 1                    |         |        | ŝ       |        |        |       |      |       | 89     |         | ļ          |        |
|                     |          | 2.5%                  | 40 lb./acre          | 1                    |         |        |         | -      | ŝ      | ~     |      |       |        | 28      | 100<br>100 | 001    |
|                     | dip er   | nulsion <sup>3</sup>  | 0.2 oz.              | -                    |         |        |         | -      | 0      | 0     |      |       |        | 83      | 89         | ß      |

gallon. gallon.

Two pounds of toxicant per gallon.

Four pounds of toxicant per Eight pounds of toxicant per

4 10

3

|                               | SDrav         | emulsion <sup>4</sup>          | 1 lb.                                     | 2                             |                   |                | 1               |                  |                  |                             | 96                          |                  |                  |                   |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                               | Co. Jo        |                                | 2   | 1                             |                   |                | 2               |                  |                  |                             | 16                          |                  |                  |                   |
|                               | spray         | emulsion <sup>3</sup>          | 2.5 lb.                                   | 1                             |                   |                |                 | 0                | 0                |                             |                             | 100              | 89               |                   |
| Heptachlor                    | dust          | 10%                            | 40 lb./acre                               | 2                             |                   |                | Г               |                  |                  |                             | 90                          |                  |                  |                   |
|                               |               |                                |   | г                             |                   |                | 3               |                  |                  |                             | 84                          |                  |                  |                   |
|                               |               | 2.5%                           | 40 lb./acre                               | 1                             |                   |                |                 | 1                | -                | 9                           |                             | 94               | 91               | 87                |
|                               | dip en        | ulsion <sup>3</sup>            | 0.2 oz.                                   | 1                             |                   |                |                 |                  | 0                | 0                           |                             |                  | 79               | 94                |
|                               | spray         | emulsion <sup>4</sup>          | 1 lb.                                     | 1                             |                   |                | 0               |                  |                  |                             | 93                          |                  |                  |                   |
| Difference ne                 | cessary for s | significance:                  | at 5% level                               |                               | 15                | 11             |                 | 6                | 9                | 16                          |                             |                  |                  |                   |
|                               | •             | )                              | at 1% level                               |                               | 20                | 14             | 7               | 12               | 8                | 23                          |                             |                  |                  |                   |
| 1 For dips: (<br>2 Percentage | of yield for  | icant per gal<br>highest-yield | llon of dip. For s<br>ing treatment of th | prays: pound<br>1e year: 1948 | s of to<br>, 26.4 | oxican<br>tons | t per<br>ber ac | 100 ga<br>re; 19 | llons<br>949, 1. | of spray, a<br>3.6; 1950, 1 | applied at 3<br>10.8; 1952, | ounce<br>10.9; 1 | s per<br>953, 15 | plant.<br>.1; and |
| 1955, 18.                     | 6.            |                                |   |                               |                   |                |                 |                  |                  |                             |                             |                  |                  |                   |

During the experiments, 10 insecticides were used in 41 treatments. The insecticides were: aldrin, BHC, calomel, chlordane, corrosive sublimate, DDT, dieldrin, heptachlor, parathion, and toxaphene. These were used in standard formulations of the period except as specifically noted.

Five methods of application were used: Dip and stem treatments were applied to the transplants just before they were replanted in the field. Drenches, dusts, and sprays were applied around the bases of the plants soon after they were set out, usually within two days.

In the dip treatments, the mixture was a wettable powder (DDT) or an emulsifiable concentrate (aldrin, heptachlor, chlordane) in water. The entire plant was immersed in the DDT mixture since this procedure protected the young plants from flea beetles. Only the roots and stems of the plants were dipped in the emulsions, however, because of the danger of phytotoxic effects from dipping the entire plant. No further treatment was given.

In the stem treatments, the transplants were moistened and the stems (not roots) dusted with approximately 1 ounce of dust per 250 plants. No further treatment was given.

The drench treatment was used only for applying corrosive sublimate solution, in 1947 and 1948. One-third to one-half of a cupful, approximately 3 ounces, of a 1:1600 solution was poured on the soil at the collar of each plant. There were three applications, at ten-day intervals, starting shortly after transplanting or at the beginning of egg-laying.

In the dust treatments, dust was applied with a puffer duster, one or two puffs on the soil around the collar of each plant, at approximately 40 pounds per acre. There were 1 to 3 applications, starting shortly after transplanting. Second and third applications were made at ten-day intervals. In the spray treatments, a spray was applied to the soil around the collar of each plant with a bucket pump sprayer for a timed period, usually 5 seconds, predetermined to wet thoroughly the soil surrounding the plant. Approximately 3 ounces of spray were applied to each plant. There were 1 to 3 applications.

The treatments were evaluated on the basis of yields and plants ruined by maggots (King et al., in preparation). The plants ruined comprised those killed by root maggot attack and those that because of root maggot attack failed to produce marketable heads weighing at least 12 ounces by the last harvest. For analysis, the number was expressed as a percentage. For determination of yields, marketable heads cut at each harvest from each plot were weighed, and the total weight and number of heads were The average weight per recorded. marketable head was calculated from these data. Yields per acre were calculated on the basis of 10,000 plants per acre and the percentage of plants ruined by maggot attack.

The varieties of cabbage used were: Green Acre, 1948 and 1949; Golden Acre, 1947 and 1950 - 1953; Cluseed, 1955. There was a single replicate of the variety Flowers of the Garden in the 1947 experiment.

## **Results and Discussion**

Results of six years' experiments are given in Table II. In 1950, 1952, and 1953 the infestations were moderate, whereas in 1948 and 1949 they were heavy. The infestation in 1955, when 90 per cent of the untreated plants were killed by root maggots, was by far the heaviest encountered in the studies and provided a very severe test.

In the other two experiments, 1947 and 1951, root maggot infestations were too light to provide a critical test, less than 2 per cent of the untreated plants being killed in either case. In 1951 this was largely the result of late transplanting, so that the plants escaped first-generation infestation.

With stem brassicas, the critical period for damage by root maggots is the two or three weeks between transplanting and establishment (King *et al.*, in preparation). Infestation after the plants are established is less serious. To give satisfactory control, a treatment must provide almost complete protection at this time, but need not prevent all infestation later in the season. The critical period is long enough, however, to necessitate two or more applications of any treatments that have little residual effect.

The most effective and economical of all the materials were aldrin and heptachlor, as previously shown also for rutabagas (King & Forbes, 1954; King et al., 1955; Forbes & King, 1956). One application of either aldrin or heptachlor dust gave almost complete control in every instance. These materials in spray form gave comparable results and also killed wireworms. Dip treatments gave the greatest reduction in maggot damage obtained, almost all the roots being free from feeding. However, they were sometimes phytotoxic. In the 1955 experiment each killed eight per cent of the plants, within two weeks after transplanting. Α different commercial formulation applied at the same rate in 1955 by a co-operating grower showed no phytotoxicity to early cabbage, while providing almost complete control. Gould (1955) suggests several precautions that reduce or prevent phytotoxicity.

Dieldrin dust and spray were about equal to aldrin and heptachlor in effectiveness in the one year of trial.

In some of the early experiments, chlordane appeared to be rather promising. Fair control was obtained with a spray treatment in 1949 and 1950 and with a dust treatment in 1950. Later experiments, however, showed chlordane dust to be unreliable, particularly in heavy infestations. Multiple applications of BHC dust or spray in the earlier experiments gave outstanding control. Single applications, tested in 1949 and 1950, gave somewhat poorer though still adequate commercial control.

The DDT stem treatment gave good control in 1948 and 1950. The DDT dip treatment used in 1950, 1951, and 1952, which was developed as a more rapid and convenient method of applying the DDT to the roots and stems, was also effective.

Two applications of parathion dust gave good control in 1948. Parathion was not tested further in view of the availability of other effective materials having much lower mammalian toxicity.

Corrosive sublimate drench and calomel-talc dust, each with three applications, were the standard treatments for maggot control in early cabbage in 1947. They were, therefore, included in the 1947 and 1948 experiments. The 1947 test was inadequate. In the 1948 experiment they gave reasonably good control but were surpassed by several other treatments, especially on the basis of vield and economy. For example, in 1948, yields these treatments were only with about two-thirds those with other treatments that afforded comparable reduction in maggot damage. The low yields with these relatively mercury compounds were probably attributable to their strongly phytotoxic properties. The calomel stem treatment showed a similarly reduced yield.

Toxaphene dust, chlordane dust, DDT spray, and calomel stem treatment were unsatisfactory as tested in these experiments.

## Conclusions

To provide the best test of treatments against root maggots, stem brassicas should be exposed to the heaviest infestation possible. At Victoria this is usually achieved when the crop is transplanted to the field as early in the spring as growth conditions are suitable. The crop is then at the critical stage of growth, *i.e.*, between transplanting and establishment, when egg-laying by the adult of the cabbage maggot is at its peak. To achieve protection, it is essential to prevent attack for a least ten days after transplanting, and to minimize it for a further three or four weeks.

The eight years' field experiments demonstrated that corrosive sublimate drench and calomel-tale dust treatments, which were the standard treatments for maggot control on cabbage in Canada up to 1949, were inferior to treatments with the chlorinated hydrocarbons, especially on the bases of yield and cost. Aldrin and heptachlor were the most effective chemicals, a single application providing almost complete protection against very heavy infestations.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge: the assistance of Mr. A. T. S. Wilkinson, Associate Entomologist at Victoria, in taking harvest data on several occasions; the stimulus provided by Mr. A. G. Dustan, Entomology Division, Ottawa, formerly in charge of vegetable insect investigations, whose Canada-wide plans guided the setting up of the 1947 and 1948 experiments; and much practical assistance and counsel from Mr. W. Mattick, Victoria, the grower who provided facilities for this work.

#### References

Brittain, W. H. One year's experiments in the control of the cabbage maggot. 50th Ann. Rept. Ent. Soc. Ontario, 1919, pp. 61-68. 1920.

Carlson, E. C., E. P. Breakey, and R. L. Webster. The cabbage maggot in northwest Washington. J. Econ. Ent. 40: 57-64. 1947.

Dills, L. E., D. E. H. Frear, and H. L. King. Mercury substitutes for cabbage maggot control. J. Econ. Ent. 37: 640-642. 1944.

Eide, P. M., and L. L. Stitt. Comparisons of insecticides for cabbage maggot control. J. Econ. Ent. 43: 899-905. 1950. Forbes, A. R., and K. M. King. Practical application of chemical controls of root maggots in rutabagas. J. Econ. Ent. 49: 354-356. 1956.

Gibson, A., and R. C. Treherne. The cabbage root maggot and its control in Canada with notes on the imported onion maggot and the seed-corn maggot. Canada Dept. Agr., Ent. Bull. 12. 1916.

Glasgow, H. Mercury salts as soil insecticides. J. Econ. Ent. 22: 335-340. 1929.

Goff, E. S. Work in economic entomology. In Eighth annual report of the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Wisconsin . . . 1891, pp. 162-175. 1892.

Gould, G. E. Cabbage maggot control. Purdue Univ. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bull 616. 1955.

- King, K. M., and A. R. Forbes. Control of 100t maggots in rutabagas. J. Econ. Ent. 47: 607-615. 1954.
- King, K. M., A. R. Forbes, D. G. Finlayson, H. G. Fulton, and A. J. Howitt. Co-ordinated experiments on chemical control of root maggots in rutabagas in British Columbia and Washington, 1953. J. Econ. Ent. 48: 470-473. 1955.
- King, K. M., A. R. Forbes, and M. D. Noble. Ten years' field study of methods of evaluating root maggot damage and its control by chemicals in early cabbage. In preparation. Presented at 10th Int. Congress Ent., Aug. 1956.
- Schoene, W. J. The cabbage maggot: its biology and control. New York Agr. Expt. Sta. (Geneva) Bull. 419. 1916.
- Semenov, A. E. A complex method of controlling the cabbage moth and the cabbage fly with hexachlorane dust (in Russian). Dokl. vsesoyuz. Akad. sel.-khoz. Nauk Lenina 15: 39-42. 1950. Rev. Appl. Ent., A, 41: 9. 1953.

Slingerland, M. V. The cabbage root maggot, with notes on the onion maggot and allied insects. Cornell Univ. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bull. 78, 1894.

Wright, D. W. The assessment of damage caused to some brassica crops by the cabbageroot fly. Ann. Appl. Biol. 40: 607-611. 1953.

## DIPTERA TAKEN AT ROBSON, B.C.

## H. R. Foxlee

Robson, B.C.

Robson is in the southern part of the West Kootenay region of British Columbia, close to the northeastern corner of the State of Washington. The elevation is about 1410 feet.

The Diptera in this list were taken between 1939 and 1955.

### ORTHORRHAPHA

Nematocera

#### Anisopodidae

Anisopus alternatus Say.

#### Simuliidae

Prosimulium fulvum (Coq.) Simulium arcticum Mall. Simulium hunteri Mall. Simulium tuberosum Lund. Simulium vittatum Zett.

## Fungivoridae (Mycetophilidae)

Ceroplatinae Apemon negriventris Joh. Ceroplatus terminalis Coq. Sciophilinae Dziedzickia fuscipennis (Coq.) Monoclona elegantula Joh. Fungivorinae

Rhymosia cristata (Staeg.)

#### Bibionidae

Bibio longipes Lw. Bibio nervosus Lw. Bibio slossonae Ckll.

## BRACHYCERA

#### Stratiomyidae

Clitellariinae Adoxomyia rustica (O.S.) Stratiomyinae Eulalia pubescens (Day) Stratiomys barbata Lw. Stratiomys maculosa Lw. Geosarginae Geosargus cuprarius (L.) Geosargus decorus (Say) Geosargus viridis (Say) Microchrysa polita (L.) Beridinae Scoliopelta luteipes Will. Rhagionidae Rhagioninae Rhagio concava Leon. Chrysopilinae Symphoromyia atripes Big. Coenomyiidae Arthroceras sp. Xylophagus decorus Will.