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ABSTRACT
A rapid system of sampling for strawberry aphids, Chaetosiphon fragaefolii (Cockerell) was developed for use by pest management scouts. Regression equations relating mean numbers of aphids/leaf, variances of those means and the proportion of unifested leaves (P₀) were developed from samples of aphids from single leaves. Using the equations, mean aphid density per leaf and standard errors can be estimated from P₀ and the sample size. The accuracy of the estimations were tested on data from 155 samples from commercial strawberry fields sampled by a professional pest management company. Means estimated from P₀ were sufficiently accurate for the intended purpose and only 2 hours were required to sample 300 leaves compared to 16 hours when all aphids on all leaves were counted from only 80 leaves. An electronic recorder was programmed to prompt an IPM scout for data entry, allow correction of errors and permit sampling from different subplots within a field.

INTRODUCTION
Sixteen species of aphids have been recorded on species of Fragaria worldwide (Blackman and Eastop 1984). All but two species have been found in south-western British Columbia, but only nine have been collected from strawberries (Forbes and Chan 1987). The strawberry aphid (Chaetosiphon fragaefolii [Cockerell]), of North American origin, is present in most commercial strawberry growing areas of the world. Aphids of all species cause infrequent and limited direct damage to strawberries, but plant viruses transmitted by aphids are responsible for major economic losses and increased costs of production in B.C. and most other areas of commercial strawberry production (Aerts, 1973).

C. fragaefolii is the most numerous and efficient vector of viruses transmissible to strawberries by aphids (Mellor and Forbes, 1960; Frazier and Converse 1980). Virus infection results in a progressive decline in vigor and yield (Martin and Converse, 1977) that necessitates replanting. In California, yields are commercially acceptable for only 15 to 18 months (Trumble et al., 1983). In B.C., replanting is required every 3 to 5 years depending upon the degree of isolation between fields.

Strategies to protect strawberries from virus infection vary regionally depending upon the aphid fauna, virus complex and adequacy of certification programs to produce virus-free plants. Insecticide applications can reduce aphid numbers and retard the spread of viruses, but even when aphid numbers are very low, plants can become infected by one or more viruses within their first year of field exposure (Converse and Alinazee, 1987). Breeding strawberries for tolerance to viruses and controlling aphids reduces damage and virus spread thereby prolonging plant vigour (Barritt and Daubeney, 1982). Even well-managed commercial fields of tolerant cultivars are replanted regularly because of the deleterious accumulated effects of viruses.

Modern pest management relies upon the results of sampling to make decisions about pest control. An effective sampling program must produce reliable results in a short time. Collecting 80 leaves from a field, removing and counting aphids in the laboratory can take as long as 16 hours for one person to do. Aphids must be removed to avoid counting them more than once. This is an economically unacceptable amount of effort for a grower or pest management scout.
Progress has been made (Nachman, 1984; Raworth and Merkens, 1987) in estimating the density of mites on strawberries from the proportion of pest habitats that are not infested \((P_0)\). \(P_0\) of strawberry aphids on immature leaves was correlated with the total population on individual plants (Trumble et al., 1983).

This paper describes the development and testing of a method of sampling for \(C.\ fragaefolii\) based on \(P_0\).

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Development of Sampling Program**

A research plot (12 matted rows, each one meter apart and 30 m long) of Totem strawberries planted 1 May 1986, was sampled at about weekly intervals when picking, cultivation and irrigation permitted during 1987 and 1988 from 1 May until first frost in November. No insecticides were used on the plot but one application of simazine at 2.25 (ai) kg/ha for weed control was applied one month before sampling began each year.

Sampling consisted of collecting one new leaf from each of 80 plant crowns selected arbitrarily from sites evenly spaced throughout the plot. Selected leaves had elongate petioles with lamina that had not unfurled, the leaves preferred by \(C.\ fragaefolii\) (Dicker, 1952). Leaves were placed singly in plastic bags kept on crushed ice in a cooler. The number and instar of aphids on each leaf were counted and recorded after being removed from each leaf under a microscope \((\times 30)\). The mean number of aphids per sample \((M)\) and its variance \((V)\) were calculated and In\((V)\) was regressed against In\((M)\) following Taylor (1961). The proportion of leaves that had no aphids \((P_0)\) were calculated for each sample, transformed to In\((−\ln[P_0])\) and regressed against \(M\) (Nachman, 1984).

**Evaluation**

A private company (Monagro Consultants Inc.) sampled 27 commercial strawberry fields during 1987 to advise growers of aphid densities and give recommendations for the control of aphids. Leaves were examined in the field with a \(\times 10\) magnifier mounted on a headband. The data were made available to us and consisted of 220 records of mean aphids per leaf \((M)\), the sample size \((N)\) and \(P_0\). We were not given the age, cultivar, location or history of pesticide applications of the sampled fields. Samples from less than 40 leaves were discarded, leaving 155 samples for analysis.

Statistical analyses were done with SPSS-PC+ (SPSS Inc.) on a CompaQ Deskpro 286 microcomputer. The level of significance used for hypothesis testing was 5%.

**RESULTS**

Linear regressions between ln\((M)\) and ln\((V)\) (Taylor, 1961) (Fig. 1A) and between ln\((M)\) and ln\((−\ln[P_0])\) (Fig. 1B) were developed with data from the research plots.

\[
\text{Eq. 1. } \ln V = 1.285 + 1.206 \ln M \quad R^2 = 0.93 \quad df = 31
\]

\[
\text{Eq. 2. } \ln M = 0.964 + 1.043 \ln(−\ln[P_0]) \quad r^2 = 0.97 \quad df = 31
\]

**Evaluation**

A linear relationship (Fig. 1B) between ln\((M)\) and ln\((−\ln[P_0])\) was calculated for the data from the commercial fields. The slope and intercept of the line were not significantly different from those of the relationship from the research plots (Fig. 1B). The data from the research plots and commercial fields were combined and the relationship between ln\((M)\) and ln\((−\ln[P_0])\) recalculated.

\[
\text{Eq. 3 } \ln M = 0.964 + 1.043(\ln(−\ln[P_0])) \quad r^2 = 0.87 \quad df = 199
\]

A computer program based on a FORTRAN-77 program (Raworth and Merkens, 1987) was written in Turbo Pascal 4.0 (Borland International, Scotts Valley, California) (program available on request). For various levels of \(P_0\) estimated from sampling, the program calculates, using equations 1 and 3, \(M\) and the standard error of \(M\) that results
when $P_0$ is estimated from various numbers of single leaves (Table 1). If a sample of 200 leaves were taken and $P_0$ was equal to 0.6, the mean level of infestation would be 1.47 aphids per leaf with a standard error of ±0.22 (15% of 1.47, Table 1). The computer program is easily modified to print tables with gradations in $P_0$ and standard errors as fine as desired.

A field to be sampled was measured with the aid of a Rolatape (Rolatape Corporation, Spokane, Washington) measuring wheel and the number and spacing of rows determined. The field was then drawn to scale and a plan for sampling the field was developed. In 1989 our interest was primarily in evaluation the utility and efficiency of the $P_0$ method of sampling and in determining if the edges of fields should be sampled separately from the centre of fields. While 20 commercial strawberry fields were sampled, each in a manner to answer specific research questions, results from only one are presented. That field was a 3.6 ha rectangle of 2 year old Totem strawberries. It was sampled 6 times during the growing season when agricultural operations were permitted. Sampling was done separately from each edge of the field and from two central areas separated by a road. A sample was taken approximately every 7m as the sampler walked through the field. One sample of the field required 2 hours to complete. Three hundred leaves were inspected from each field, 50 from each edge and each central strip of the field.

A model 600 Polycorder (Omnidata International, Logan, Utah) was programmed to prompt the operator for input and permit corrections to entered data. The instrument displayed a code number representing the particular area of the field being sampled and the number of leaves that had been sampled. The Polycorder stored the area code and each sample outcome (leaf with or without aphids). We programmed the instrument to request, on a relative subjective scale, the temperature, leaf wetness, cloud cover and wind speed.
Fig. 1B. Relationship between mean number of *Chaetosiphon fragaefolii* per leaf and the proportion of uninfested leaves (P₀) in samples collected from research (+) and commercial (•) plantings of strawberries.

The data from the Polycorder were downloaded to a microcomputer for estimation of P₀ and the corresponding M. The program for the Polycorder is available from the authors. The mean density of aphids in the 6 sampled areas of the field (Table 2) was similar for most of the year except on 8 July when the edges had only one-half the density of aphids on the central subplots.

**DISCUSSION**

Sampling strawberry aphids on a presence or absence basis provides estimates of the mean sufficiently accurate for pest management purposes. When most leaves have aphids (P₀ = 0.05), aphid density exceeds 9/leaf with a variance of 53. At that level of infestation and dispersion, very heavily infested leaves are evident in every meter of row. When densities are very low (high P₀), large sample sizes would be needed to determine a mean level of infestation with accuracy. However, at low density, great accuracy is not required because further reduction of the density would not be contemplated. If the initial sample size is too low for the level of precision required, more samples can be taken before the scout leaves the field. The grower can be immediately informed of the results and future sampling scheduled at that time. The Polycorder and the programs developed to operate it, while not essential, greatly simplify recording and help the scout to be correctly oriented in large fields and to count the number of samples made.
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### Table 1

Numbers of strawberry leaves, mean numbers of *Chaetosiphon fragaefolii* per leaf and standard errors resulting from observed proportions of uninfested leaves (P0). Standard errors are expressed as percentages of means. An asterisk denotes sample sizes in excess of 10,000 leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P0</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>15%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>25%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>35%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>45%</th>
<th>50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Mean number of *Chaetosiphon fragaefolii* per strawberry leaf in six areas of a field. An asterisk indicates when means on the perimeter of field were significantly different from those of the central areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Field</th>
<th>Perimeter</th>
<th>Center</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Julian Day</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>May 5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>July 8</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>July 17</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Aug 26</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABSTRACT
Mortality of apple maggot (AM), *Rhagoletis pomonella* (Walsh), was determined in the laboratory on spray deposits of phosmet (Imidan®) applied to apple foliage and fruit at rates of 0.6 and 1.2 g active ingredient (AI)/liter (0.5 and 1 pound [AI]/100 gallons). Mortality of AM adults was 100% with both rates until 16 days post-treatment. Thereafter, mortality decreased inversely with time. Probit analysis revealed insecticide residual toxicity of 24 days for 95% mortality (ET95) for both rates, and 51 and 55 days, respectively, for 50% mortality (ET50) at 0.6 and 1.2 g (AI)/liter. The intercepts and slopes of probit regression were not significantly different for the two rates tested, indicating little difference between their persistence and efficacy against AM adults.

INTRODUCTION
The apple maggot (AM), *Rhagoletis pomonella* (Walsh), was first reported in the western United States near Portland, Oregon (AliNiazee and Penrose, 1981). It is now well established in six western states including Oregon, Washington, California, Idaho, Utah, and Colorado (AliNiazee and Brunner, 1986). Most AM infestations in the western United States are associated with abandoned and unsprayed apple trees and hawthorn species, both the native *Crataegus douglasii* Lindley and the introduced ornamental *C. monogyna* Jacquin. Isolated infestations of prunes in the Willamette Valley of Oregon (AliNiazee, 1985) and of cherries in Utah (Jorgensen et al. 1986) have also been noticed. The only commercial apple-growing area infested with AM in the western United States is near Salem, Oregon (AliNiazee, 1988).

Therefore, in Oregon and Washington, the primary objective of AM control and localized eradication programs is to kill all AM females that immigrate into commercial orchards from surrounding natural habitats before oviposition occurs. Consequently, protective application of insecticides on a regular basis against immigrating AM females is the key to successful management of AM in commercial orchards of the Pacific Northwest (AliNiazee, 1988).